

# Proposed Database Design for *OmniLingua*

Prof. Dennis Shasha  
Dept of Computer Science  
Courant Institute, NYU

# Design Goals

- Want to include language properties examples
- Want system to allow the addition of new properties, but with some discipline (avoid the reinvention of terms).

# Database Design 101

- Most database schemas (table designs) are fairly rigid, e.g. employees have names, departments, managers, and salaries.

Emp(name, dept, manager, salary)

- Changes are changes within the framework of the schema, e.g. add a new employee record or change a salary.

# When Flexibility Is Needed

- There already exists an application area where properties must be added in a flexible way: ecommerce.
- Imagine a website that sells stuff. For pants you have an inseam. Now if you sell wristwatches, you have to add wrist circumference. If you sell wetsuits, you need to add thickness.

# Ecommerce Approach

## “attributes as data”

- Generic(object, attribute, value)  
e.g. generic('pants', 'inseamlength', 32")  
generic('watch', 'wristcircumference', 5")
- That is, attributes become data. If we did this with the employee example, we might have:  
generic(empid\_53, name, 'joe')  
generic(empid\_53, salary, 60,000)

# OmniLingua Schema

## first cut

- language(languageid, property, value)  
example(sentenceid, languageid, property, value)  
property(propertyname, description)
- Here are some records:  
language('english', 'order', 'verb-object')  
example(3, 'nigeria1', 'text', 'me kp0 Kofi')  
example(3, 'nigeria1', 'gloss', 'I see.past Kofi')

# OmniLingua Schema extensions

- Generic fields: e.g. author, date, annotations, display instructions, time
- Special features for hierarchies.  
X is\_a\_type\_of Y  
X is\_a\_part\_of Y

# OmniLingua Query Examples

- select sentenceid, languageid from example where property = 'voice' and value = 'passive'
- Find language pairs that both have passive voice examples. (SQL is a little more complex but not too bad.)



# Why a Relational Database?

- Gary Simons has pointed out that RDF could be an alternative implementation platform as it also offers an attribute-as-data approach.
- I agree but with a relational database, we get: recovery from failure, concurrency control, and a query language.

# Example Design

- My suggestion is to agree on a core set of example sentences for purposes of discovering minimal pairs.
- This could be based on a questionnaire to explore many features of the languages.

# Property Freedom

- With this model, it is possible to define new properties.
- How do we prevent chaos?  
Tools – if a researcher defines a new property, we would ask for a description and see whether we could suggest ‘similar’ properties. Researcher would be asked to distinguish new from old.  
Training – Examples + properties

# How Much Effort

- Creating the database schema and a core set of queries is not a lot of work (e.g. a month once the community has suggested the queries of interest).
- Creating a user interface is likely to be much longer, but is mostly a policy issue of how you want to interact with the system.

# How Much Effort II

- First implementation is three months using a current tool like ruby on rails on initial data.
- Get feedback from users to see how to improve, e.g. new queries, new sets of examples.
- Hosting on single machines around the world serving as mirror sites.
- All eminently doable.